



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with the attorney that the use of trademark and the term "magnecular clusters" in the response of 20 October 2004 is OK. Also proposed to clean up 1) the confusing of the use of the term "specific density" in the specification upon relating it to the atomic mass unit of the gas; 2) to completely delete the phrase "for increasing a specific density and an energy content of a gas" in the elected claims and the specification; 3) to clarify and amend the claimed limitation "a submerged magnetic field" as the term submerged related to the submerge in a liquid and not in a gas as claimed; 4) to incorporate the limitation of claim 56 into claim 1 in reference to the election of species; and 5) to cancel non-elected claims, so that the elected claims are in better form for allowance. Also, agreed that no new issue raises upon receiving the amendment after final Office action when the amendment follows the above proposals' guideline..